IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 14883 of 2004**

Between:

1 Mrs. Medikonda Radha, Hindu, W/o Rajender Rao,
r/o Chelluru Village, Vizianagaram District.
2 Medikonda Deepthi, Hindu, D/o Rajender Rao,
r/o Chelluru Village, Vizianagaram District.
3 Medikonda Divya, Hindu, D/o Rajender Rao,
r/o Chelluru Village, Vizianagaram District.
4 Medikonda Deepak, S/o Rajender Rao,
being minor, rep. by his mother and natural guardian 1st petitioner.

**... PETITIONERS**

AND

1 The District Collector, Vizianagaram District, Vizianagaram.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.
3 The Superintendent of Police, Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the in action of the respondents in not granting compensation after assessing the damage that was caused by lessors by demolishing the poultry sheds belonging to the petitioners situated 59 cents in Sy.No.84/4 and 5, Chelluru Village and 65 cents in Sy.No. 84/8 and 11 of Chelluru village by demolishing the poultry sheds of the petitioners who belong to Scheduled Caste on 18-7-2002 and 10-9-2002 high

handedly as being illegal, unjust and arbitrary.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.E.V.BHAGIRATHA RAO**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE**

The Court made the following Order:

**ORDER:**

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to substantiate how the petitioners are entitled for compensation to assess the damages caused by the lessors to the petitioners in respect of poultry farms, except stating that the Director, Government of India, National Commission for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes, State Office, A.P addressed a letter dated 24-01-2003 to the first respondent to expedite the case and also intimate whether or not the victims have been granted any assistance so far.

2. In view of the said letter, learned counsel contends that the petitioners are entitled for assistance under Rule 12 of The Scheduled Castes and The Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Rules, 1995. It is for the first and second respondents to consider the case of petitioners and issue appropriate orders in accordance with law.

3. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

**(A. GOPAL REDDY, J)**

August 24, 2004

**MRR/MURTHY**

**To:**

1 The District Collector, Vizianagaram District, Vizianagaram.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.
3 The Superintendent of Police, Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.

4 Two C.C. s to the G.P for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two C.D. Copies.